

36 the condition where the camera is used by another terminal or by user's own terminal or not used by anyone.

Please add new claim 24.

37 24. (New) The camera control system according to claim 11, wherein said setting means set positions of the camera symbols generated by symbol-generating means on positions of the layout image, as dragged by the operator.

REMARKS

Claims 3-23 are pending in this application. Claims 3 and 5-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Morgan. Claims 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan. By this amendment, claims 3, 11-12, 15, 18, 20 and 22-23 have been amended. Claim 19 has been canceled without prejudice and disclaimer.

1) Morgan discloses an apparatus camera selection and positioning system having a control apparatus coupled to the video switcher apparatus for controlling which video signals are displayed on each of the plurality of video display monitors. Also provided are graphics user interface which displays the status of the cameras thus permitting a user to operate the control apparatus. Applicants respectfully submits that Morgan does not disclose, teach or suggest "an image display means for displaying the image picked up by the selected camera apparatus on the screen on which the status of the camera apparatus is displayed" as required by amended independent claims 3 and 20. In other words, the status of the camera and the image are displayed on different displays and not on the same screen. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Morgan fails

to disclose or suggest each element of independent claims 3 and 20. Since claims 5-10, 16, 18 and 21, as currently pending, depend from claims 3 and 20, such claims incorporate all the limitations of claims 3 and 20 and are, thus, not anticipated by Morgan. Accordingly, claims 3, 5-10, 16, 18 and 20-21 are in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

21 The Examiner has rejected claims 11-15, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Morgan. Applicants respectfully submit that Morgan does not disclose, teach or suggest symbol-generating means, setting means, storage means and display-control means as required by amended independent claim 11. Morgan is silent as to means concerning the start up of a system or setting of system. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submits that Morgan fails to disclose or suggest each element of independent claim 11. Since claims 12-15, 17 and new claim 24 depend from claim 11, such claims incorporate all the limitations of claim 11 and are, thus, not anticipated by Morgan. Accordingly, claims 11-15, 17 and 24 are in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

22 The Examiner has also rejected claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Morgan. Morgan discloses an apparatus which only displays a symbol "ON" when a camera is used. Morgan does not teach "distinguishably displaying used statuses of at least two of the camera apparatuses on the basis of a condition where the camera apparatus is used by a terminal connected to another network or user's own terminal". In other words, the apparatus in Morgan does not distinguishably display a camera status on the basis of the condition where the camera is used by which terminal, when a plurality of terminals are connected to a network. Accordingly, applicants

respectfully submit that Morgan fails to disclose or suggest each element of independent claim 22. Therefore, claim 22 is in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

A) The Examiner has rejected claims 4 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Morgan. Applicants respectfully submit that because Morgan does not disclose, teach or suggest various elements of independent claims 3 and 22 from which 4 and 23 depends from, claims 4 and 23 are distinguishable from Morgan and are in condition for allowance which is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Morgan & Finnegan, LLP

Dated: September 30, 1998

By: _____

MeiWile Chen
Registration No. 43,323

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

Morgan & Finnegan, LLP
345 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10154
(212) 758-4800
(212) 751-6849 (Fax)